



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

H.D

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/816,977

04/01/2004

Lili Cheng

MS305641.01 /
MSFTP658US

1591

27195 7590 04/13/2007
AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER
1900 EAST NINTH STREET
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

SALOMON, PHENUEL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2109

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding:

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,977	CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phenuel S. Salomon	2109	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phenuel S. Salomon.

(3) Bhavani S. Rayaprolu.

(2) James W. Myhre.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4/10/2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, 23, 31 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Scully et al (4,831,552), Doss et al.(US 2003/0046296 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendments to claims 1, 14 and 31 create a non-statutory problem and the applicant's representative is willing to reconsider. The new limitations added to the above claims appear to overcome the prior arts cited. The limitation of the new claim 37 contradicts its parent claim 1. The applicant's representative proposed to make some changes in the new draft.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required